Real-Time Identification of LEO Objects in the Tomo-e Gozen Camera Images with a Multi-GPU System

Manuel Cegarra Polo, Toshifumi Yanagisawa, Hirohisa Kurosaki 日本学術振興会 海外特別研究員 研究開発部門 第二研究ユニット JAXA Chofu

年度プラネタリーディフェンス・シンポジウム 14-15 February 2022

### Introduction

#### BACKGROUND

- LEO objects will appear as **streaks in images when tracking at sidereal rate**, with lengths depending on object elevation and camera exposure time.
- In the literature, there are many research works aimed to detect streaks, mainly focused in the **detection sensitivity**, where only a few of them focus on the **processing speed**:
  - (1) 4096 x 4096 (16.7 Mpixels) -> 3.19 sec GPU
  - (2) 1024 x 1024 (1.05 Mpixels) -> 3 sec CPU
  - (3) 2049 x 2047 (4.2 Mpixels) -> 2 7 min CPU
  - (4) 2048 x 2048 (4.2 Mpixels) -> 13 sec CPU
- **Reduction of processing speed** can be beneficial when:
  - There is a massive amount of images to process.
  - Orbital parameters of detected objects are sent to follow-up observation stations in next LEO object pass.

### OUR SOLUTION

- We developed a real-time processing system to detect and identify objects as streaks, based in heterogenous computing (mixed multi CPU-GPU), in two variants (standard and high sensitivity):
  - STANDARD: 18 x 2000 x 1128 (40.6 Mpixels) -> 0.3 sec (detection); 3.3 sec(including identification)
  - HIGH: 18 x 2000 x 1128 (40.6 Mpixels) -> 3.7 sec (detection); 6.7 sec(including identification)
- We achieved **Real-Time performance** (file processed before next file arrives): 18 frames of 2000 x 1128 pixels with 0.5 sec exposure time = 9 sec per file (without considering nudging time)

(1) Diprima, F et al., T. 2017, 7th European Conference on Space Debris, Darmstadt, Germany

(2) Hickson, P. 2018, Adv. Space Res., 62, 3078-3085

(3) Cvrcek V. et al. 2019, 14th International Joint Conference on Computer Vision, Imaging and Computer Graphics Theory and Applications, 5, 498-509, Prague (4) Virtanen, J. et al. 2016, Adv. Space Res., 57, 1607-1623

# **GPU** Technology

#### **IMPORTANT CONCEPTS**

- GPU technology is well suited for image processing of massive number of pixels (memory) in parallel (same operations).
- Heterogeneous computing: systems that use several types of processors or cores. Current workstations and high performance computers include many CPU cores and a high number of GPU cores.
- Nowadays there exist mature NVIDIA CUDA GPU libraries for image processing and algebra to help in development: opency, cublas, magma, etc.





GPU002 2 x NVIDIA Ampere A6000 10752 CUDA CORES each

Our Top Level Parallel Processing heterogeneous CPU-GPU computing system

STREAKS TABLE (CSV)

### Process steps: Preprocessing

- Includes 2 substages:
  - **Removal of stars:** (variation of star removal method\*) to avoid detection of false positives of streaks created by diffraction spikes of bright stars or other astronomical objects.
  - Binarization: global or local (adaptive thresholding).



Substages of preprocessing step



Diffraction spikes of bright star (Tomo-e camera)



Globular cluster (Tomo-e camera)

(\*) Yanagisawa, T. Et al. Automatic Detection Algorithm for Small Moving Objects, PASJ, Vol. 57, Issue 2, 2005, Pages 399–408

# Process steps: Detection

### STANDARD SENSITIVITY (HOUGH TRANSFORM\*)

- CUDA OpenCV implementation of the PPHT (Progressive Probabilistic Hough Transform) -> Fast, detection of segments instead of lines
- Longest of each group of segments of len. > 200 pixels.



Theoretical principle of Hough Transform source:https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=74745305



\* Hough, P.V.C. 1962, U.S. Patent 3069654

### HIGH SENSITIVITY (STACKING METHOD\*\*)

- Pixel binary values (0/1) are accumulated over segments of length 200 pixels.
- Casefile includes 1128 possible directions.
- Cases over threshold (~130/200) are candidates.



\*\* Detection of Small GEO Debris by Use of the Stacking Method", Yanagisawa T. et al, T. Japan Soc. Aero. Space Sci., V.44, No. 146, pag. 190-199, 2005

## Process steps: Identification

- Includes 3 substages:
  - Astrometry: Obtention of astronomical coordinates (RA, Dec) of each streak end.
  - Identification: coordinates ends are compared with those of known objects of a space-track.org database of 29,082 entries, based in the SGP4 orbital propagation or their initial TLE coordinates.
  - **Differential Photometry:** Only to characterize system sensitivity, not intrinsic to the object, and not included in the main loop process.



- Elliptical area surrounding the detected streak to compute the total brightness.
- 2 Circular areas surrounding both ends of the detected streak, that defines the error with the TLE database of objects comparison.
- 3 **Trajectory of real object** in the database obtained through the propagation of its TLE data with SGP4 algorithm.
- 4 **photometric stars** for differential photometry.
- 5 (RA,Dec) coordinates of object from database corresponding to the timestamps of start and end of camera integration time. if these points are encompassed by the circular areas (2), the streak is associated with the candidate object.

### Results: Experimental Set-up

#### TOMO-E CAMERA AT 1M SCHMIDT TELESCOPE AT KISO OBSERVATORY

FITS files:	posure time					
	2000 x 1128 x 18 ~ 40.61 Mpixels ~	162.4 Mbytes				
Data rate:	~ 1.7 Tbytes / hour					
Sensor model:	Canon 35MMFHDXM					
Sensor type:	CMOS Front illuminated					
Pixel size:	19 µm/pixel					
Pixel scale:	1.198 arcsec/pixel					
No. sensors:	84					
OBSERVATION SE	<u>.</u>					
STANDARD SENSI	TIVITY: 21,084 FITS files (2 hours)	~3.4 TB				
HIGH SENSITIVITY 2.373 FITS files ~386 GB						



Layout of CMOS sensors in Tomo-e Gozen camera. 1.198 arcsec/pix source: <u>www.ioa.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/tomoe</u>



35 mm Full HD 2000 x 1128 pixels source: <u>www.ioa.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/tomoe</u>

# Results: Sample Images





Detected by Standard and High Sensitivity Methods



Detected **ONLY** by High Sensitivity Method

### Results: Performance

#### STANDARD SENSITIVITY (HOUGH METHOD)

detection time: ~100 ms per FITS file (18 frames) 12-130 times faster than CPU REAL-TIME (4xGPU): 3.3 s < 9 s Detection rate: ~0.5% Identification rate: 83% Min. apparent magnitude: +11.3

#### HIGH SENSITIVITY (STACKING METHOD)

detection time: ~14 s per FITS file (18 frames) 60 times faster than CPU REAL-TIME (4XGPU): 7 s < 9 s Detection rate: ~ 5% Identification rate: ~66%. Min. apparent magnitude: >+11.3







Comparative speed performance at CPU vs. GPU vs. 4xGPU of Hough and Stacking Detection algorithms

Photometry of 35 objects detected. NC are Non-Catalogued Objects. 8 objects where not measured as one of their ends was out of bounds of the image sensor (Hough Method)

## Results: Output table

subframe	file_name	positives	max_x	max_y	min_x	min_y	max_RA	max_Dec	min_RA	min_Dec	 UTC_begin	UTC_end	TLE_candidate	NORAD ID
7	rTMQ1202010180038009611_7.fits	386984	1675	1055	1702	988 · ·	17:48:08.792	-07:10:54.57	17:48:10.992	-07:09:35.11	 2020-10-18 09:22:34.165882	2020-10-18 09:22:34.665786	SATCOM K2 R/B(PAM-D2)	16295
8	rTMQ1202010180038009611_8.fits	694382	1720	983	1768	740 · ·	17:48:12.434	-07:09:29.32	17:48:16.424	-07:04:40.70	 2020-10-18 09:22:34.665786	2020-10-18 09:22:35.165690	SATCOM K2 R/B(PAM-D2)	16295
9	rTMQ1202010180038009611_9.fits	1109989	1759	780	1823	508 · ·	17:48:15.680	-07:05:28.20	17:48:20.963	-07:00:05.25	 2020-10-18 09:22:35.165690	2020-10-18 09:22:35.665594	SATCOM K2 R/B(PAM-D2)	16295
10	rTMQ1202010180038009611_10.fits	888185	1817	545	1884	286 · ·	17:48:20.461	-07:00:49.21	17:48:25.970	-06:55:41.79	 2020-10-18 09:22:35.665594	2020-10-18 09:22:36.165498	SATCOM K2 R/B(PAM-D2)	16295
11	rTMQ1202010180038009611_11.fits	1914	1878	406	1889	200 · )	17:48:25.418	-06:58:04.44	17:48:26.421	-06:53:59.56	 2020-10-18 09:22:36.165498	2020-10-18 09:22:36.665402	SATCOM K2 R/B(PAM-D2)	16295
13	rTMQ1202010180038009611_13.fits	7985	1520	874	1524	433 · ·	17:47:56.527	-07:07:17.89	17:47:57.127	-06:58:33.38	 2020-10-18 09:22:37.165306	2020-10-18 09:22:37.665210	SATCOM K2 R/B(PAM-D2)	16295
14	rTMQ1202010180038009611_14.fits	1	1567	399	1567	399 · ·	17:48:00.582	-06:57:53.33	17:48:00.582	-06:57:53.33	 2020-10-18 09:22:37.665210	2020-10-18 09:22:38.165114	SATCOM K2 R/B(PAM-D2)	16295
13	rTMQ1202010180038009612_13.fits	10	1668	1127	1688	1004 · ·	17:48:09.131	-06:24:09.55	17:48:10.763	-06:21:43.31	 2020-10-18 09:22:37.165306	2020-10-18 09:22:37.665210	SL-24 R/B	27610
8	rTMQ1202010180038009913_8.fits	7	9	505	1860	250 · ·	18:13:37.240	-12:24:43.90	18:16:07.676	-12:19:56.09	 2020-10-18 09:23:46.185148	2020-10-18 09:23:46.685052	no match	no match
2	rTMQ1202010180038009914_2.fits	543	595	620	372	243 · ·	18:14:26.391	-11:39:02.85	18:14:08.548	-11:31:32.63	 2020-10-18 09:23:43.185724	2020-10-18 09:23:43.685628	no match	no match
3	rTMQ1202010180038009914_3.fits	193	838	1024	614	622 · ·	18:14:45.858	-11:47:05.34	18:14:27.928	-11:39:05.36	 2020-10-18 09:23:43.685628	2020-10-18 09:23:44.185532	no match	no match
13	rTMQ1202010180038010044_13.fits	332788	1597	407	1464	200 · )	18:02:32.141	-11:56:49.87	18:02:21.646	-11:52:41.15	 2020-10-18 09:24:09.086756	2020-10-18 09:24:09.586660	no match	no match
14	rTMQ1202010180038010044_14.fits	1676705	1940	953	1740	635	18:02:59.216	-12:07:46.06	18:02:43.423	-12:01:23.83	 2020-10-18 09:24:09.586660	2020-10-18 09:24:10.086564	no match	no match
15	rTMQ1202010180038010044_15.fits	99026	18	1121	1897	855 · ·	18:00:23.118	-12:10:27.45	18:02:55.858	-12:05:48.60	 2020-10-18 09:24:10.086564	2020-10-18 09:24:10.586468	no match	no match
8	rTMQ1202010180038010215_8.fits	22816	1781	1016	1990	985 · ·	18:25:08.817	-03:33:27.09	18:25:25.428	-03:32:51.57	 2020-10-18 09:24:55.598711	2020-10-18 09:24:56.098615	no match	no match
8	rTMQ1202010180038010825_8.fits	235	540	245	747	200 · )	18:07:40.656	+03:43:30.63	18:07:57.109	+03:44:24.10	 2020-10-18 09:27:07.480134	2020-10-18 09:27:07.980038	no match	no match
4	rTMQ1202010180038011014_4.fits	11	375	1061	324	1018	18:23:12.382	+10:29:09.58	18:23:08.275	+10:30:00.77	 2020-10-18 09:27:54.495094	2020-10-18 09:27:54.994998	no match	no match

Fragment of output table with the High Sensitivity method

File ID	$\rm rTMQ1202010180038024725.fits$				
Subframe	0	1			
length(arcsec)	316.8	316			
slope	-39.2	-39			
$RA_1$	49:53.9	50:17.2			
$\mathrm{Dec}_1$	+48:35:40.63	+48:39:10.14			
$RA_2$	50:18.0	50:41.4			
$\mathrm{Dec}_2$	+48:39:05.58	+48:42:33.49			
UTC begin	22:54.9	22:55.4			
UTC end	22:55.4	22:55.9			
TLE candidate	ORBCOMM FM 36	ORBCOMM FM 36			
NORAD ID	25984	25984			
RA rate $(arcsec/s)$	-491.2	-491.2			
Dec rate $(arcsec/s)$	400.1	397.7			
Streak counts	2190944.0	2196086.2			
Instr Mag	-15.9	-15.9			
Object Mag	8	8			
Mag residuals	0.021	0.021			

Details for one FITS file

### Conclusions

- We developed a real-time processing system to detect and identify objects as streaks, based in heterogenous computing (mixed multi CPU-GPU), in two variants (standard and high sensitivity), with Real-Time performance.
- CPU-GPU heterogeneous computing is a convenient solution for the need of big data processing in SSA and Astronomy.
- Plan to **shorten Postprocessing stage** time through GPU implementation.
- Next stage: Integration and installation in existing SSA observatories under consideration.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- This research is funded by the fellowship program of JSPS.
- Astronomical images were kindly provided by Tomo-e Gozen Team of University of Tokyo.

### --- どうもありがとうございました ---